

1  
2  
3  
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 In Re No. C-12-4435 EMC (pr)  
9 MANUEL RODRIGUEZ,  
10 Petitioner. **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

## **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

12       Manuel Rodriguez commenced this action by filing a petition for a “writ of habeas corpus[,]  
13 or in the alternative by writ of mandate, or a writ of coram nobis directing the Superior Court for the  
14 County of Monterey to vacate and set aside the conviction” of petitioner. Docket # 1, p. 1. The  
15 conviction under attack was a 1999 misdemeanor assault conviction from Monterey County Superior  
16 Court or Monterey County Municipal Court. This Court issued an order explaining that neither a  
17 writ of mandate nor a writ of error coram nobis was available to Petitioner and that his remedy, if  
18 any, was a writ of habeas corpus. This Court ordered Petitioner to show cause why this action  
19 should not be dismissed for failure to satisfy the custody requirement, for untimeliness, and for  
20 failure to exhaust state court remedies. This Court also ordered Petitioner to name a proper  
21 respondent.

Petitioner filed a response in which he attempted to show that he met the custody requirement. He stated that, due to the conviction, he cannot carry a firearm and that his inability to carry a firearm disqualifies him from obtaining a job in his preferred field of law enforcement.

25 The federal writ of habeas corpus is available only to persons “in custody” at the time the  
26 petition is filed. 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241(c), 2254(a); *see Carafas v. LaVallee*, 391 U.S. 234, 238 (1968).  
27 The custody requirement is jurisdictional. *See Carafas*, 391 U.S. at 238. Petitioner’s inability to  
28 lawfully carry a firearm and his inability to obtain a particular kind of job are collateral

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1 consequences that do not satisfy the custody requirement for habeas corpus jurisdiction.  
2 *See Lefkowitz v. Fair*, 816 F.2d 17, 20 (1st Cir. 1987) (revocation of medical license based upon  
3 petitioner's conviction not satisfy custody requirement for petitioner who filed following  
4 unconditional release from probationary period); *see also Ginsberg v. Abrams*, 702 F.2d 48, 49 (2d.  
5 Cir. 1983) (where sentence of unconditional discharge entailed neither imprisonment, fine, nor  
6 probation supervision, judge's removal from bench, loss of right to practice law and disqualification  
7 from licensure as agent insufficient to constitute custody); *Harvey v. South Dakota*, 526 F.2d 840,  
8 841 (8th Cir. 1975) (inability to pursue certain professions, to possess firearms, and status of  
9 recidivist if another crime committed do not amount to custody). Regardless of the existence of  
10 serious collateral consequences stemming from petitioner's conviction, the absence of custody at the  
11 time of the filing of the petition means that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction to entertain the  
12 petition for writ of habeas corpus. *See Resendiz v. Kovensky*, 416 F.3d 952, 958-59 (9th Cir. 2005)  
13 The action must be dismissed.

14 The action is dismissed for the separate and additional reason that the Court lacks personal  
15 jurisdiction due to Petitioner's failure to name a proper respondent. *See Stanley v. California*  
16 *Supreme Court*, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994).

17 In light of the dismissal of the action for jurisdictional reasons, the Court does not reach the  
18 non-jurisdictional problems of untimeliness and non-exhaustion of state court remedies.

19 A certificate of appealability will not issue. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). This is not a case in  
20 which "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial  
21 of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court  
22 was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

23  
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25  
26 Dated: January 2, 2013

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge